

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,877	11/30/2001	Ali Sazegari	P2395-514	2764
7590 08/04/2004			EXAMINER	
James W. Peterson, Esquire BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			MAI, TAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	6
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/996,877	SAZEGARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V Mai	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-10-	<u>02 & 4-15-02</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/996,877

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because superfluous language and legal phraseology are used in this paragraph (i.e., "[t]he invention provides" and "comprising"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.7

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham et al '346.

As per independent claim 1, Abraham et al disclose, e.g., see Figs. 4 & 7, the invention substantially as claimed. Fig. 7 shows the "partial correlation" and combining for providing the "full convolution result". It is noted that Abraham et al do not specifically detail the "superimposing the partial solution vectors" feature; however, such feature is implicitly disclosed from the Figure and the corresponding recitation because the "full convolution result" of Fig. 7 is the same as because the "full convolution result" of Fig. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Abraham et al's teachings because the reference is a convolution devices having "multiple data chunks" as claimed.

As per dependent claims 2-13, 77the detail features are obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,877

Art Unit: 2124

Due to the similarity of claims 14-15 to claim 1, they are rejected under a similar rationale.

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER